

# **Public Thinking About Care Work in a Time of Social Upheaval**

**Preliminary findings from the  
Culture Change Project**

**April 2021**



**Sponsored by the Ralph C. Wilson, Jr. Foundation**

# Contents

<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Methods</b>	<b>3</b>
Peer Discourse Sessions (May–June 2020 and December 2020)	3
Culture Tracking Survey	4
Cultural Models Interviews (August–September 2020)	5
<b>Preliminary Findings</b>	<b>6</b>
“Care work” is understood to mean healthcare.	6
People assume care work happens primarily in institutions, not homes.	7
Care work outside a hospital setting is often considered less skilled and less important.	9
People sometimes think of care workers as providing critical emotional support.	10
Caring is often thought of as a character trait, not a skill.	11
People are able to recognize that context matters for the quality of care.	11
People see care workers as “frontline soldiers” in the war on COVID-19.	13
<b>What’s Next?</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>Endnotes</b>	<b>16</b>
About FrameWorks	18

# Introduction

In March 2020, the novel coronavirus (COVID-19) became a pandemic in the United States, leading to widespread stay-at-home orders, the shuttering of countless businesses, and, of course, a sudden, overwhelming strain on the US healthcare system as hundreds of thousands became sick and tens of thousands began to die. Many of those who have become ill or died are care workers, including people working in hospitals, nursing homes, other healthcare settings, and homes.

Nursing homes proved to be particularly vulnerable, and both workers and residents have been at high risk of catching and spreading the virus. As of April 2021, more than 182,000 residents and staff of nursing homes and other long-term care facilities have died of COVID-19.<sup>1</sup>

This unprecedented event has put the spotlight on care work. Stories about nursing homes and hospitals have included discussion of the risks that workers in these places, including care workers, must take. A type of work that is usually out of sight and out of mind for most people has come to the forefront of national discussion, and working conditions in nursing homes and hospitals have become front-page news.

This sudden increase in issue salience and closer public scrutiny of care work has the potential to shift people's thinking about care, who provides it, where it happens, and how we support or—too often—devalue care work. Increased visibility has the potential to foster a revaluing of care work and could lead Americans to push for better pay and protections for care workers across care settings.

To what extent is this unprecedented pandemic shifting thinking about care work? The FrameWorks Institute is exploring this question as part of our empirical study on culture change during a time of upheaval. The broader project is examining whether and how the upheavals of 2020–2021 are shifting thinking about social issues—the economy, health, racism, government, and other issues.<sup>2</sup> As part of this project, we have dedicated a strand of research to exploring how Americans are thinking about care work during this time. The research goes below the surface of public opinion, looking not to identify *what* people think about care work but rather *how* they reason—the deep cultural mindsets that the public uses to think about care work as well as top-of-mind associations with the issue.

Below, we outline interim findings from the first nine months of the project (May 2020–February 2021). While we are in the middle of ongoing research—research that will last at least a year and hopefully longer—results are emerging that we think are valuable now. This preliminary report outlines these findings and lays out questions for the remainder of the project.

# Methods

To understand how Americans understand care work, we collected data using both qualitative and quantitative methods.

## Peer Discourse Sessions (May–June 2020 and December 2020)

We conducted 13 peer discourse sessions (a form of focus groups) in May and June 2020, and nine sessions in December 2020. These sessions explored participants’ thinking about major issues in American society, including health, the economy, government, and racism.

In the sessions conducted in May and June, we asked participants to think about these issues in the past, present, and future in an attempt to collect information about broader mindsets rather than just thinking about the pandemic.<sup>3</sup> The sessions then turned to lengthy discussions about a specific topic—the economy, government, or health. In the final five of the 13 sessions we added a dedicated set of questions on the racial justice protests following the murder of George Floyd, which were just beginning to happen at the time, to understand how participants were making sense of the protests and the issues at stake in them.

In the sessions conducted in December, we revisited the same issues, with three sessions focusing on the economy, three on government, and three on health. We wove questions about racism throughout all sessions. We dedicated the first half of the sessions to questions we had asked in May and June. This allowed us to look at whether the same questions were eliciting similar conversations or whether different patterns were emerging. In the second half of the sessions, we designed activities to deepen our understanding of findings that were emerging in the project. Specifically, we explored thinking about the connection between health and place in the context of COVID-19; about health and racism in the context of COVID-19; about the idea that the economic system is “rigged”; about racism and the economy; about the meaning of democratic representation; and about elections and the American voting system. The sessions concluded with a brief discussion of lessons people were drawing from the pandemic.

In both sets of sessions—May–June and December—we included a dedicated module about care work. Participants were asked what they think of when they hear “care work” and “caregiving”; what they think care work and caregiving entail; where they think care work and caregiving occur; and how they think care workers and caregivers could be supported. In the first set of sessions, we found that participants didn’t always have a clear understanding of the terms “care work” and “care workers,” so in the second set of sessions,

after asking some initial questions to get top-of-mind associations, we provided a short definition of the term before asking further questions.<sup>4</sup>

All sessions were held virtually using Zoom, with six participants per session, and were recorded with the consent of participants. The participants were recruited to represent variation across demographic characteristics, including race/ethnicity, gender, age, political identification, residential location (urban/suburban/rural), geographical location (city/region), and education. Sessions were demographically mixed, including participants from different groups in the same sessions.

## **Culture Tracking Survey**

Since August 2020, we have conducted a regular, nationally representative tracking survey to quantitatively measure and track cultural mindsets—both foundational mindsets (for example, individualism) as well as mindsets on specific issues (for example, economy, health, race and racism, government). The survey asks a series of questions to gauge levels of endorsement of specific mindsets. It also includes questions to gauge support for key policies (for example, a jobs guarantee, Medicare for all, paid family leave, reparations), allowing us to look at the relationship between the strength with which people hold certain mindsets and their support for specific policies. In October 2020, we added a set of questions to measure mindsets around representation, democracy, and voting. The survey was conducted monthly from August through December 2020, then bimonthly beginning in February 2021. (The April survey is in the field as we write this report.)

Like the peer discourse sessions, the survey includes a dedicated module on care work. In this section of the survey, respondents are asked questions about which occupations they consider to be “care work” and where care work happens; what jobs they consider “essential”; and what jobs they consider “important.” After gathering top-of-mind associations with “care work” and “care workers,” the survey—like recent peer discourse sessions—briefly defines “care workers” for respondents to make sure they have an accurate sense of the term in mind, then asks a set of follow-up questions to understand how they think about care workers.<sup>5</sup> This includes a set of questions to gauge levels of endorsement of different cultural mindsets about care workers.

In this report, we focus on results from the February 2021 survey. Survey results have been relatively stable across time, so, for convenience and simplicity, we use the February results to illustrate patterns. In subsequent reports for which we have data from surveys over a longer period, we will devote more space to analyzing patterns over time and identifying shifts in mindsets.

## **Cultural Models Interviews (August–September 2020)**

To deepen our understanding of how mindsets might be evolving in response to the upheavals of this year, we conducted 20 in-depth, one-on-one interviews with participants from diverse backgrounds. (See the description of peer discourse sessions above for demographic factors we made sure to vary.) Interviews were conducted virtually using Zoom and were recorded with the consent of participants. These interviews allowed us to dig more deeply into how people are applying cultural mindsets in this moment. We asked similar questions as in peer discourse sessions, but, in the one-on-one context, we were able to probe more fully to understand the ways in which mindsets are shifting and to develop some ideas about how the current social reality might be prompting such shifts.

These interviews included a section that focused specifically on care and care work. As in the survey, we asked a set of questions designed to elicit top-of-mind associations with the idea of care work, then introduced a definition of care work (the same one used in peer discourse sessions and the survey) and asked additional questions, probing with follow-ups as necessary, to understand mindsets around this type of work.

# Preliminary Findings

## FINDING #1


### “Care work” is understood to mean healthcare.

Across all methods, we found that people tend to have, at best, a loose understanding of the term “care work,” an understanding that centers on healthcare. In particular, when people hear “care work” they tend to think about skilled healthcare work in hospital settings.

In cultural model interviews and peer discourse sessions, when participants were asked what comes to mind when they think about care work and care workers, they consistently brought up doctors, nurses, EMTs, and paramedics. Participants were less likely to think of health aides, such as home health aides, hospital nursing aides, and nursing home workers, although when they were asked explicitly about these types of jobs, they generally considered them to be types of care work.

Survey responses back up these patterns. Across the months the survey has been conducted, when respondents were asked to what extent they considered different jobs to qualify as “care work,” they consistently rated doctors and nurses most highly, followed by different categories of health aides (see Figure 1 for results from February 2021, which are representative of the general pattern).

**Figure 1: What qualifies as care work? (mean score)**



The focus on doctors and nurses almost certainly stems from the greater visibility and prestige of these positions within our culture. When people think of healthcare, they think first and foremost of doctors and nurses, rather than other critical healthcare jobs, such as

different types of health aides. And since people think of healthcare when they hear “care work,” their thinking goes to these jobs first.

Because most people have only a loose sense of what “care work” means, people sometimes consider care provision outside of healthcare contexts to be care work as well. As Figure 1 shows, on average people thought of childcare providers as engaged in care work to a greater extent than teachers, janitors, and grocery workers—other jobs that are similarly not health jobs. This indicates that people’s loose sense of the term centers on healthcare but extends to some degree to care provision that is not related to health.


## FINDING #2

### People assume care work happens primarily in institutions, not homes.

Just as people associate care work with healthcare, their first assumption is that care work occurs in *institutions*, in particular hospitals and nursing homes. This was clear in peer discourse sessions and interviews as well as in survey data.

In the survey, we ask respondents what places come to mind when they think about care work. As Figure 2 shows, in the February 2021 survey, nursing homes and hospitals were chosen by almost three-fourths of respondents, followed by childcare centers and home daycares, which was selected by nearly two-thirds of respondents. Notably, only 28 percent of respondents chose homes as a location for care work. This pattern was consistent across other months as well.

**Figure 2: “What places come to mind when you think about care work? (Check all that apply)”**






The fact that people typically *don't* think of homes as a place where care work happens is striking since this is, in fact, a central site for such work. What explains this? Data from interviews and peer discourse sessions suggest the answer has to do with how people model the relationship between work and home.

As historical analyses of gender and labor have shown, our culture assumes a basic split between two separate spheres of life: a public sphere, where people (prototypically men) work for money, and the private sphere of the home, where people engage in noneconomic activities and women provide care out of personal affection and concern.<sup>6</sup> We saw this traditional conception of spheres come out during peer discourse sessions in participants' different responses to questions about "care work" and "caregiving." While "care work" prompted talk about jobs that happen outside the home, participants associated "caregiving" with something done in the private sphere of the home, most likely by a woman in the family who provides care for free. So caregiving is thought of as an unpaid "labor of love" that women provide in the home. By contrast, care work is thought of as something you are paid to do and, given basic assumptions about where work happens, this led people to think of it as something that happens in public spaces.

People's thinking about home health aides shows that people's association of care work with healthcare can overcome people's assumption that care work happens in institutions. The term "health" in the title seems to professionalize caregiving done in the home and enable people to see it as "work." People's recognition that these are paid positions overcomes the default presumption that caregiving done in the home is not really work.

There are some interesting differences in how men and women perceive locations of care work. Women are more likely than men to view home as a place where care work takes place (see Figure 3 for February 2021 results, which are consistent with the typical pattern across months). This may be a result of women being more likely to engage in domestic forms of labor and to recognize that this labor *is* work even if it isn't compensated. Women may therefore be more likely to view home as a space where care "work" occurs. Or women's greater recognition of home as a location of care work may be a result of women playing a greater role in arranging for home care for their family members. It is worth noting, however, that while more women than men say care work happens in the home, it remains a minority.

**Figure 3: Percent of men and women who selected “homes” as a site of “care work”**



**FINDING #3**

**Care work outside a hospital setting is often considered less skilled and less important.**

Interviews and peer discourse sessions revealed a commonly held assumption that care work outside hospital settings involves “menial” or unskilled tasks like mopping the floor, chatting with the elderly, and checking in on people, whereas care work in hospitals is assumed to consist of or support highly skilled medical care. Although people recognized that the support care workers provide is essential for older people and people with disabilities, they tended to treat care provided outside hospitals as less valuable for society as a whole. This was particularly true for home-based care, which people thought of as important for those who receive the care but less essential for the rest of society.

The survey data on the overall value of different forms of care work have proven less conclusive. While—unsurprisingly—doctors and nurses are considered more essential than health aides, there aren’t statistically significant differences in how essential or important people considered hospital aides to be compared to nursing home or home health aides. In peer discourse sessions we are currently conducting (in April 2021), we are exploring more deeply how people think about the care provided in different locations. Engaging research participants in fuller discussions about care work in different locations will enable us to better understand differences in how people model care work in hospitals compared to homes and nursing homes.

#### **FINDING #4**

### **People sometimes think of care workers as providing critical emotional support.**

In December 2020 peer discourse sessions, talk about care work differed from earlier sessions and interviews in an important way. While we saw many of the same patterns—talk still focused on healthcare and institutional settings, and people sometimes assumed that care work outside of hospital settings involves menial labor—we also saw a different type of talk emerge. At times, particularly when talking about care facilities that are not hospitals, such as nursing homes and hospice centers, participants understood care work as providing critical emotional and psychological support to patients or residents. In other words, people thought of care work not as aiding with physical care but rather with psychological care.

In these sessions, care workers were sometimes described as replacements for family members. Some of this talk was explicitly linked to the pandemic: Care workers provide emotional support that family members would ordinarily provide but can't because they can't visit due to the pandemic. At other times, participants used similar language without explicitly talking about the pandemic, suggesting that, for example, the job of a nursing home aide can be thought of as providing support that family members would provide if the older person was living with them.

We suspect that this way of thinking about care work already existed before the pandemic but is being pulled forward by the pandemic and people's inability to visit loved ones in facilities. The emotional care provided by care workers is, perhaps, becoming more salient during the pandemic because care workers have, in many cases, been the main people able to provide in-person emotional support. This critical part of care work is coming more clearly into view because care workers are playing an even more central role in nursing home and hospice residents' support systems.

This is an important development with both promise and potential pitfalls. This way of thinking is an important counter to the one described above—care work in this case is valued and recognized as critical. On the other hand, when people drew on this view of care work, they still didn't think much about people's homes as locations for care work. And this view may reinforce the sense that care work is defined by care workers' personal and individualized capacity to care—a way of thinking we turn to next that can make it hard for people to think about care work in systemic terms.

## **FINDING #5**

### **Caring is often thought of as a character trait, not a skill.**

Americans share a deep assumption about care: Caring is a personality trait, not a skill. When people apply this mindset to thinking about care work, they assume that to do care work well, you have to be the right kind of person—a caring person. This understanding of care appeared across peer discourse sessions and interviews, as participants consistently talked about caring as a feature of individuals themselves. As we discuss in the next finding, the existence of this mindset is backed up by survey results.

This is a highly individualized model of caring that locates the capacity to (provide) care in inherent characteristics of the person rather than context. According to this logic, some people are naturally caring, while others are not, and this isn't easily changed. This means that care is not thought of as a skill that can be acquired or supported but rather something that people are either capable of or not.<sup>7</sup>

The assumption that care work depends on the individual's natural capacity to care makes it harder for people to see how systems, supports, and policies can improve care. When participants drew on this way of thinking, they concluded that improving the quality of care work just requires finding the right (caring) people to do the work. And for care work outside hospital settings, where care is already viewed as less skilled and less important, this understanding likely creates an even higher barrier to support for better programs and policies.

## **FINDING #6**

### **People are able to recognize that context matters for the quality of care.**

Although there is a widespread assumption that caring is inherent to the individual and that personal characteristics determine the quality of care work, there is an alternative way of thinking about care work that is also available to people: the idea that context shapes the quality of care. People think caring is rooted in an individual's personality, but they also recognize that context matters.


We can see this reflected in survey results. Respondents were asked, in separate questions, how strongly they agreed or disagreed with different ideas about care work, including the following two statements:

- *The quality of care work depends primarily on the personality of individual care workers.*

- Providing better pay and working conditions to care workers would improve the quality of care.

Figure 4 shows that in February 2021, on average, respondents “somewhat agreed” with both statements. This pattern held for other months as well, with very small differences in average support between months.

**Figure 4: Support for different mindsets about care work (mean score)**



People hold both ways of thinking about care work in mind simultaneously, moving back and forth between the two. While it might seem surprising that people hold these competing mindsets simultaneously, this is not unusual. In making meaning of social issues, people typically have available to them multiple, sometimes contradictory ways of making sense of issues. They draw on different mindsets at different times. In thinking about care, it appears that Americans, while still highly individualistic, may be increasingly able to recognize the importance of systems and social structures in influencing care work.

Systemic thinking about care work is reinforced by the view that government is responsible for providing critical resources and support to its citizens—a view that seems to be strengthening at the moment.<sup>8</sup> While this is only one view of government among Americans, it tended to come out strongly in interviews and peer discourse sessions when people talked about healthcare. Given the close association between care work and healthcare, people are able to see that government can play an important role in supporting care work. People can see better pay and better working conditions for care workers as part of the government’s responsibility for ensuring quality healthcare.

In peer discourse sessions we are currently conducting (in April 2021), we are engaging participants in a conversation about which of these two cultural mindsets—the *individual personality* mindset or the *context matters* mindset—they agree with more. By engaging people in these conversations, we will develop a deeper understanding of these mindsets

and what parts of conversations activate them. This should provide some specific clues about how the *context matters* mindset can be more consistently cued and pulled forward. This is a possible first step toward a framing strategy to collectivize thinking about care work in the United States.

#### **FINDING #7**

### **People see care workers as “frontline soldiers” in the war on COVID-19.**

The war metaphor is widely used to talk about society’s response to the COVID-19 pandemic.<sup>9</sup> While this metaphor was not widely used to talk about care work in the first round of peer discourse sessions in May and June or during one-on-one interviews in August, by December 2020 we saw a lot of talk about care workers as frontline soldiers. It seems that members of the public had internalized the war metaphor by this point and were more readily applying it to care workers.

This particular way of thinking about care workers appears to be highly connected to the context of the pandemic. It is only in the context of the “war” against COVID-19 that care workers are thought of as soldiers. When the pandemic passes and we are no longer talking about fighting a war on COVID-19, the rationale for characterizing care workers as soldiers will likewise disappear.

While this characterization of care workers is presumably temporary, it’s possible that it will shift associations with care work in a durable way by leading people to see care workers in a new light. Therefore, it is worth briefly exploring what the metaphor says about care workers.

On one hand, the metaphor valorizes care work, suggesting that care workers have acted heroically in taking on profound risks to care for others during the pandemic. This could help increase the salience of and respect paid to care workers over a longer period. On the other hand, the metaphor focuses attention not on what care workers *do* in their jobs—the work they do and the specific roles they play—but rather on the extraordinary risks they have faced. It is possible that when ordinary life returns and these risks disappear, the increase in salience and respect entailed by the metaphor will fade as well.

# What's Next?

More research is needed to understand how the pandemic is shaping thinking about care work and to understand how this thinking is evolving as we see signs of the pandemic fading. In coming months, we will look to deepen and extend our findings.

There do seem to be some opportunities in this moment to boost the salience of care work. While care jobs aren't top of mind for people as they think about the pandemic—they tend to focus more on doctors and nurses—they are able to recognize that these jobs are vital. Yet this assessment is uneven, as people tend to value jobs in hospitals more than other places. People sometimes think of care workers as heroic soldiers on the front lines of the pandemic, but it's not clear whether the enhanced respect this metaphor entails will carry over to life after the pandemic. At a deeper level, the upheavals of this year seem to be prompting a rise in systemic thinking, and this applies to care work as well. There appears to be an opening to make the case for better supporting care workers and the quality of care provision through policy change.

Over the next few months and possibly beyond, we will continue to conduct a mix of qualitative and quantitative research to understand how thinking about care work is evolving. As we move forward, we will be focusing on some specific questions:

- How is thinking about care work shifting over the course of the pandemic? With vaccinations continuing and the end of the pandemic coming into sight, how is public thinking changing? As we analyze data from additional tracking surveys and a new round of peer discourse sessions that are being conducted in April 2021, we will be able to map trends in thinking over the course of the pandemic and deepen our understanding of how thinking about care work is evolving.
- To what extent, and in what cases, is the pandemic helping people see care work as a matter of collective concern? Our initial findings make clear that people tend to recognize that care work done in institutional settings is important for society, but they often don't recognize the critical importance of home care as well.
- How durable is systemic thinking about care? Will people continue to recognize the role of contexts and systems in shaping care, or, as we move toward a return to “normal” life, will people lose sight of these contexts and treat the quality of care primarily as a function of the character of the individual care worker?

Answering these questions and deepening our understanding of how thinking about care work is changing will provide critical information to advocates and activists and allow them to respond strategically to openings that are emerging in this unprecedented time.



# Endnotes

- <sup>1</sup> AARP. (2020, April 15). AARP nursing home COVID-19 dashboard.  
<https://www.aarp.org/ppi/issues/caregiving/info-2020/nursing-home-covid-dashboard.html>
- <sup>2</sup> For a discussion of preliminary findings from the broader project, see FrameWorks Institute. (2021). *Is culture changing in this time of social upheaval? Preliminary findings from the Culture Change Project.*
- <sup>3</sup> We asked questions about past, present, and future to ascertain how the current context is affecting thinking about broader issues, rather than just discovering how people are thinking about the pandemic itself. By orienting people toward a time frame that included but went beyond the present moment, we were able to avoid conversations that focused simply on stay-at-home orders, vaccine development, masks, and other pandemic-specific issues.
- <sup>4</sup> We provided the following definition, which was adapted from Ralph C. Wilson, Jr. Foundation materials in consultation with foundation staff (initially for use in the tracking survey): care workers are “people who provide hands-on care and support to older people and people with disabilities, such as home health aides and nursing home workers.”
- <sup>5</sup> The survey uses the definition of care workers provided above (see Note 4).
- <sup>6</sup> Engels, F. (1972). *The origin of the family, private property and the state* (E. B. Leacock, Ed.). International Publishers Co.; Vogel, L. (2000). Domestic labor revisited. *Science and Society*, 64(2), 151–170; Kerber, K, K. (1988). Separate spheres, female worlds, woman’s place: The rhetoric of women’s history. *The Journal of American History*, 75(1), 9–39.
- <sup>7</sup> We identified this same mindset in previous research on Americans’ perceptions of health and healthcare, which found that people closely associate good healthcare with individual healthcare providers’ level of personal concern and their inherent capacity to care for patients. See Fond, M., Volmert, A., L’Hôte, E., & Kendall-Taylor, N. (2017). *Safety is more than caring: Mapping the gaps between expert, public, and healthcare professionals’ understandings of patient safety.* FrameWorks Institute.
- <sup>8</sup> For more on changing mindsets about government, see FrameWorks Institute. (2021). *Is culture changing in this time of social upheaval? Preliminary findings from the Culture Change Project.*

- <sup>9</sup> For a discussion of the war metaphor and its prevalence, see Wicke P., & Bolognesi, M. (2020). Framing COVID-19: How we conceptualize and discuss the pandemic on Twitter. *PLoS ONE*, (15)9, e0240010. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0240010>

## About FrameWorks

The FrameWorks Institute is a nonprofit think tank that advances the mission-driven sector's capacity to frame the public discourse about social and scientific issues. The organization's signature approach, Strategic Frame Analysis<sup>®</sup>, offers empirical guidance on what to say, how to say it, and what to leave unsaid. FrameWorks designs, conducts, and publishes multimethod, multidisciplinary framing research to prepare experts and advocates to expand their constituencies, build public will, and further public understanding. To make sure this research drives social change, FrameWorks supports partners in reframing through strategic consultation, campaign design, FrameChecks<sup>®</sup>, toolkits, online courses, and in-depth learning engagements known as FrameLabs. In 2015, FrameWorks was named one of nine organizations worldwide to receive the MacArthur Award for Creative and Effective Institutions.

Learn more at [www.frameworksinstitute.org](http://www.frameworksinstitute.org)

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the prior permission of the FrameWorks Institute.

Please follow standard APA rules for citation, with the FrameWorks Institute as publisher.

FrameWorks Institute. (2021). *Public Thinking About Care Work in a Time of Social Upheaval: Preliminary findings from the Culture Change Project*. FrameWorks Institute.

© FrameWorks Institute 2021